Proj**e aga**umber, 2005-**of** 23

Project Address: 876 West El Camino Real Applicant: Toyota of Sunnyvale

Project Title	Toyota Car Wash
Lead Agency Name and Address	City of Sunnyvale
	PO Box 3707 Sunnyvale, CA 94088-3707
Contact Person	Andrew Miner
Phone Number	408-730-7707
Project Location	876 West El Camino Real
Project Sponsor's Name	Toyota of Sunnyvale
Address	898 West El Camino Real
	Sunnyvale, CA 94087
Zoning	C-2/ECR (Highway Business, El Camino Real Precise Plan Combining District)
General Plan	Commercial General Business
Permits Requested	Special Development Permit
Other Public Agencies whose approval is required	None

Description of the Project: The project is to add a car wash inside an existing building. The cars would enter the building along the side of the building and proceed to the automated car wash inside. The cars would then be detailed in the building, and then would exit at the building front at El Camino Real. All improvements take place inside an existing building and do not require additional square footage to the building. The entry and exit doors will be added where pedestrian doors or windows now exist.

Surrounding Uses and Setting: The property is currently used as part of a car dealership, and cars are now hand-washed outside near the south property line. The building is currently partially vacant, with a portion used for file storage. The building faces West El Camino Real and is north of an existing residential neighborhood and west of a hotel. The main car dealership is located on the west side of the proposed building.

ATTACHMENT	C
roje 6446 <u>mber: 2005-</u> 0 66 3	

Project Address: 876 West El Camino Real Applicant: Toyota of Sunnyvale

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors ch at least one impact that is a of the certified Program EIR	'Poten	tially Significant İmpact" tȟ	at has r	not been reviewed				
Aesthetics		Hazards & Hazardous Materials		Public Services				
Agricultural Resources		Hydrology/Water Quality	Recreation					
☐ Air Quality		Land Use/Planning		Transportation/Tr	raffic			
☐ Biological Resources		Mineral Resources		Utilities/Service				
Cultural Resources		Noise		Systems Mandatory Findir	ngs of			
☐ Geology/Soils		Population/Housing		Significance				
On the basis of this initial evalu I find that the proposed project COUL DECLARATION will be prepared.	ation:		nvironme	nt, and a NEGATIVE				
I find that although the proposed proj a significant effect in this case becau project proponent. A MITIGATED NE	se revisi	ons in the project have been mad	de by or a	ent, there will not be agreed to by the				
I find that the proposed project MAY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPOR			ent, and a	ın				
I find that the proposed project MAY mitigated" impact on the environment document pursuant to applicable legal based on the earlier analysis as descis required, but it must analyze only the	, but at l al standa ribed on	east one effect (1) has been ade ords, and (2) has been addressed o attached sheets. An ENVIRON	quately a I by mitiga	nalyzed in an earlier ation measures				
potentially significant effects (a) have pursuant to applicable standards and NEGATIVE DECLARATION, includin	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.							
				July 12, 2005				
Signature				Date				
Andrew Miner			***************************************	City of Sunnyvale				
Printed Name				For (Lead Agency)				

ATTACHMENT

Project Number: 2005-0623 Project Address: 876 West El Camino Real

Project Address: 876 West El Camino Real Applicant: Toyota of Sunnyvale

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS TIERED FROM THE PROGRAM EIR =

- 1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis). Due to the tiering of this Mitigated Negative Declaration from a certified Program EIR, no impact shall also categorize impacts that were previously adequately analyzed in the EIR.
- 2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4. "Negative Declaration: Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section 17, "Earlier Analysis," may be cross-referenced).
- 5. Earlier analysis may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063 (b) (1) (c). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
- a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
- b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
- c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project
- 6. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g. general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7. Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8. This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9. The analysis of each issue should identify: (a) the significance criteria or threshold used to evaluate each question; and (b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

ATTACHMENT_C

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
1.	AESTHETICS. Would the project:	,				
	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?					1, 91
	 Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? 				\boxtimes	1,9,91
	c. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?				\boxtimes	91
	d. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				\boxtimes	27, 53, 108, 109
2.	AIR QUALITY: Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:					
	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?				\boxtimes	2, 97,108, 109
	 Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. 				\boxtimes	2, 97,108, 109
	c. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?					50, 108, 109
	d. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?				\boxtimes	108, 109
	e. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				\boxtimes	1, 108, 109
3.	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES:					
	a. Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				\boxtimes	50,92,91

ATTACHMENT C

		THE PROPERTY AND A STATE OF TH		,			1
			Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	b.	Have a substantially adverse impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S Wildlife Service?					1, 109
The state of the s	c.	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?					91,108, 109
	d.	Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?					50, 108, 109
	e.	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?					50, 109
	f.	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?					11,50
4.		LTURAL RESOURCES. Would the ject:					
	a.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5?				\boxtimes	9, 56, 57,58
	b.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resources pursuant to Section 15064.5		. 🗆		\boxtimes	9, 50, 108, 109
	C.	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				\boxtimes	9, 50, 108, 109
	d.	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				\boxtimes	9, 50, 108, 109
5.		ND USE AND PLANNING. Would the ject:					
	a.	Physically divide an established community?				\boxtimes	2, 43

ATTACHMENT	-
------------	---

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	b. Conflict with an applicable land use plan, policy or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?		. 🗆			43
6.	MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:					
	a. Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				\boxtimes	1, 11
7.	NOISE. Would the project result in:	ų				
Čir samon se samon s	a. Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?					15, 27, 50,108
	 Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels? 				\boxtimes	50, 108, 109
	c. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				\boxtimes	108, 109
	d. A substantially temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?					50, 108
8.	POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:					
	a. Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?					50
	 Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? 	. 🗆				91,108, 109
9.	PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:					
	a. Schools?				\boxtimes	1, 108

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	b.	Police protection?					12, 50, 108, 109
	c.	Fire protection?				\boxtimes	7, 50, 108, 109
	d.	Parks?				\boxtimes	2, 43, 50, 108, 109
	e.	Other services?					50, 53, 108, 109
10.	MA	NDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE					
	a.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				\boxtimes	50, see previous responses
	b.	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of the past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?					50
	C.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?					92, 108, 109
11.	GE	OLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:					
	a.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury or death involving:					
		i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.					UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC
		ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?				\boxtimes	66

ATTACHMENT

Environmental Checklist Form

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				\boxtimes	u
	iv) Landslides?				\boxtimes	tt.
b.	Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?					и
C.	Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				\boxtimes	
d.	Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-a-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				\boxtimes	
e.	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?					ı
	ILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would project:					
a.	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				\boxtimes	50, 108, 109
b.	Require or result in construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?					50, 109
C.	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?					50, 53, 109
d.	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				\boxtimes	24, 50, 53
e.	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which services or may serve the project determined that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?					19, 50, 53
f.	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?					50, 108, 109

ATTA	CHN	NEN.	T
------	-----	------	---

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	g.	Comply with federal, state, and local statues and regulations related to solid waste?			. 🔲 .	\boxtimes	50, 108, 109
13.		ANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the ject:					
	a.	Cause an increase in the traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?					50, 109, 110
	b.	Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?					50,109, 110
	C.	Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)?		. 🗆 .			109, 110
	d.	Result in inadequate emergency access?				\boxtimes	50
	e.	Result in inadequate parking capacity?				\boxtimes	109
	f.	Conflict with adopted policies or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?					53,108, 109
14.		ZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. buld the project?			1		
	a.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use or disposal of hazardous materials?					UFC, UBC, SVMC
	b.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the likely release of hazardous materials into the environment?					UFC, UBC, SVMC
	C.	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an exiting or proposed school?		. 🗆			UFC, UBC, SVMC
	d.	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				\boxtimes	UFC, UBC, SVMC

ATTACHMENT C

Environmental Checklist Form

		,					
			Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?					UFC, UBC, SVMC
\	f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?		. 🗆		\boxtimes	UFC, UBC, SVMC
	g.	Impair implementation of, or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				\boxtimes	UFC, UBC, SVMC
15.	RE	CREATION	. ,				
	a.	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	. 🗆	:			50,108, 109
	b.	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	· 🗾			\boxtimes	108, 109
16.		DROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. ould the project:					
-	a.	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				\boxtimes	19,23,24, 92, 109
	b.	Substantially degrade groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of preexisting nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				\boxtimes	25, 91, 109
	C.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation onor off-site?				\boxtimes	1, 109

_	nviro	nme	ntal	Char	kliet	Form
_	uvuu	,,,,,,,,	ziilai	UIIEL	MIISL	ruiiii

Page Of Project Number: 2005-0623

Project Address: 876 West El Camino Real Applicant: Toyota of Sunnyvale

	water the state of					
		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
d.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off site?					1, 109
e.	Create or contribute runoff which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?					25, 109
f.	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?					108, 109
g.	Place housing within a 100-year floodplain, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				\boxtimes	108, 109
h.	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?					53, 109
i.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?					1, 50
j)	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?			·	\boxtimes	1,50

Discussion:

Impacts That Are Less Than Significant With Mitigation

7. Noise: ITEM (a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies. The Municipal Code establishes noise operational limitations of no greater than 75 dBA at any point on the property line upon which the noise is generated, nor greater than 60 dBA during daytime hours at any point on adjacent residentially-zoned property.

An acoustical assessment was conducted by Environmental Consulting Service on May 1, 2005 regarding the potential for noise impacts of the car wash on adjacent properties. The noise conditions were projected at a L_{dn} /CNEL of 88 dBA at the east property line (shared with the hotel) and 58-60 dBA at the south property line adjacent to the residences. The noise level at the east property line is projected to be above the maximum allowed by City code indicating a need for mitigation measures to be included as part of the project.

Project Neagber: 2005-0623 of 4

Project Address: 876 West El Camino Real
Applicant: Toyota of Sunnyvale

MITIGATION MEASURE: The Noise Study recommends (which will be included as conditions of approval) the following mitigation measures, which would reduce the noise level to levels below the maximum allowed:

- 1. Switch the car wash bay with the detail bay which moves the blowers further from the shared property line;
- 2. Move blowers back from the doorway one car length;
- 3. Keep doors closed except for cars to enter or exit the building;
- 4. Install a 6' masonry wall adjacent to the carwash exit.

Andrew Miner

07/12/2005

Completed By

Date

ATTACHMENT_C_

Project Address: 876 West El Camino Real Applicant: Toyota of Sunnyvale

Project Number: 2005-0623

City of Sunnyvale General Plan:

- Map
- 2. Air Quality Sub-Element
- 3. Community Design Sub-Element
- 4. Community Participation Sub-Element
- 5. Cultural Arts Sub-Element
- 6. Executive Summary
- 7. Fire Services Sub-Element
- 8. Fiscal Sub-Element
- 9. Heritage Preservation Sub-Element
- Housing & Community Revitalization Sub-Element
- 11. Land Use & Transportation Sub-Element
- 12. Law Enforcement Sub-Element
- 13. Legislative Management Sub-Element
- 14. Library Sub-Element
- 15. Noise Sub-Element
- 16. Open Space Sub-Element.
- 17. Recreation Sub-Element
- 18. Safety & Seismic Safety Sub-Element
- 19. Sanitary Sewer System Sub-Element
- 20. Socio-Économic Sub-Element
- 21. Solid Waste Management Sub-Element
- 22. Support Services Sub-Element
- 23. Surface Run-off Sub-Element
- 24. Water Resources Sub-Element City of Sunnyvale Municipal Code:
- 25. Chapter 12.6
- 26. Zoning Map
- 27. Chapter 19.42. Operating Standards
- 28. Chapter 19.28. Downtown Specific Plan District
- 29. Chapter 19.18. Residential Zoning Districts
- 30. Chapter 19.20. Commercial Zoning Districts
- 31. Chapter 19.22. Industrial Zoning Districts
- 32. Chapter 19.24. Office Zoning Districts
- 33. Chapter 19.26. Combining Zoning Districts
- 34. Chapter 19.28. Downtown Specific Plan
- 35. Chapter 19.46. Off-Street Parking & Loading
- 36. Chapter 19.56. Solar Access
- 37. Chapter 19.66. Affordable Housing
- 38. Chapter 19.72. Conversion of Mobile Home Parks to Other Uses
- 39. Chapter 19.94. Tree Preservation
- 40. Chapter 19.96. Heritage Preservation

Specific Plans

- 41. El Camino Real Precise Plan
- 42. Lockheed Site Master Use Permit
- 43. Moffett Field Comprehensive Use Plan
- 44. 101 & Lawrence Site Specific Plan
- 45. Southern Pacific Corridor Plan
- 46. Moffett Park Specific Plan

Environmental Impact Reports

- 47. Futures Study Environmental Impact Report
- 48. Lockheed Site Master Use Permit Environmental Impact Report
- 59. Tasman Corridor LRT Environmental Impact Study (supplemental)
- 50. Moffett Park Specific Plan EIR
- 51. Downtown Development Program Environmental Impact Report

Maps

- 52. City of Sunnyvale Aerial Maps
- 53. Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FEMA)
- 54. Santa Clara County Assessors Parcel
- 55. Utility Maps (50 scale)

Lists/Inventories

- 56. Sunnyvale Cultural Resources Inventory List
- 57. Heritage Landmark Designation List
- 58. Santa Clara County Heritage Resource Inventory
- 59. Hazardous Waste & Substances Sites List (State of California)
- 60. List of Known Contaminants in Sunnyvale

Legislation/Acts/Bills/Codes

- 61. Subdivision Map Act
- 62. Uniform Fire Code, including amendments per SMC adoption
- 63. National Fire Code (National Fire Protection Association)
- 64. Title 19 California Administrative Code
- 65. California Assembly Bill 2185/2187 (Waters Bill)
- 66. California Assembly Bill 3777 (La Follette Bill)
- 67. Superfund Amendments & Reauthorization Act (SARA) Title III

Transportation

- 68. California Department of Transportation Highway Design Manual
- 69. California Department of Transportation Traffic Manual
- 70. California Department of Transportation Standard Plan
- 71. California Department of Transportation Standard Specification
- 72. Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation
- 73. Institute of Transportation Engineers
 Transportation and Traffic Engineering
 Handbook
- 74. U.S. Dept. of Transportation Federal Highway Admin. Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Street and Highways
- 75. California Vehicle Code
- 76. Traffic Engineering Theory & Practice by L. J. Pegnataro
- 77. Santa Clara County Congestion Management Program and Technical Guidelines
- 78. Santa Clara County Transportation Agency Short Range Transit Plan
- 79. Santa Clara County Transportation Plan
- 80. Traffic Volume Studies, City of Sunnyvale Public works Department of Traffic Engineering Division
- 81. Santa Clara County Sub-Regional Deficiency Plan
- 82. Bicycle Plan

ATTACHMENT______

Environmental Checklist Form

Project Number: 2005-0623
Project Address: 876 West El Camino Real
Applicant: Toyota of Sunnyvale

14 of 1 4

Public Works

83. Standard Specifications and Details of the Department of Public Works

84. Storm Drain Master Plan

85. Sanitary Sewer Master Plan

86. Water Master Plan

87. Solid Waste Management Plan of Santa Clara County

88. Geotechnical Investigation Reports

89. Engineering Division Project Files

90. Subdivision and Parcel Map Files

Miscellaneous

91. Field Inspection

92. Project Environmental Information Form

93. Annual Summary of Containment Excesses (BAAQMD)

94. Current Air Quality Data

95. Chemical Emergency Preparedness Program (EPA) Interim Document in 1985?)

96. Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG)
Population Projections

97. Bay Area Clean Air Plan

98. City-wide Design Guidelines

99. Industrial Design Guidelines

Building Safety

 Uniform Building Code, Volume 1, (Including the California Building Code, Volume 1)

101. Uniform Building Code, Volume 2, (Including the California Building Code, Volume 2)

 Uniform Plumbing Code, (Including the California Plumbing Code)

103. Uniform Mechanical Code, (Including the California Mechanical Code)

 National Electrical Code (Including California Electrical Code)

105. Title 16 of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code

Additional References

106. USFWS/CA Dept. F&G Special Status Lists

107. Project Traffic Impact Analysis

108. Project Description

109. Project Development Plans

110. Traffic Analysis Fehr and Peers

111. Santa Clara County Airport Land Use Plan

112. Federal Aviation Administration